

EASTER OFFERING

GENUINE FOSTER KID GLOVES

The fame of this glove has spread to every part of the world where gloves are worn. Other makes rise, enjoy a short season of popularity, and then vanish from view, but the fame of the FOSTER GLOVE goes on forever. We are exceedingly fortunate in having the exclusive sale of this glove, it places us in a position to give you the BEST GLOVE known to the trade.

Our stock of Foster gloves was never more complete; here you will find the staple; also the latest colors, in the genuine five-hook FOSTER GLOVE.

At \$1.00 per pair you'll find an extra quality real French glove in black, tan, brown, modes, grays, reds, greens and navy blue.

OUR IMPORT
Of Easter novelties comprise the finest gloves ever made by this celebrated firm; here one finds fashion's reigning favorites, in color, in style, in make. Seven-hook gloves and monogrammed length; the glove of one color, the hooks, lacer, wristband and embroidery in contrasting shades (very chic) in seven-hook and monogrammed, in lace and suede, white, pearl, black, high colors and staple shade, at \$2 a pair.

IN ADDITION
A full line of the well-known Dent, Revier, and Trufosse gloves in staple colors and Easter novelties.

L. S. Ayres & Co.

P. S.—We can match your Easter costume. Send us your size, price of glove and sample of your goods. We can please you.

DO YOU WANT

CARPETS,
DRAPERIES,
WALL PAPER,
FURNITURE,
WINDOW SHADES,
WOOD FLOORS,
ART GLASS,
QUEENSWARE,
BRIC-A-BRAC,
FINE LAMPS—

If you do, see us for all particulars—also, see our show windows.

**EASTMAN,
SCHLEICHER
& LEE**

Window Bargain Sale Every Monday.

ART EMPORIUM.

Telephone 503.

EXHIBIT

OF

SKETCHES

— BY —

Mr. WALTER SHIRLAUF.

THE H. LIEBER COMPANY,

33 South Meridian Street.

LADIES

Have you seen the new

"TOKIO" Shoe, the latest

style out?

THE "FASHION"

SHOE STORE HAS IT.

No. 10 N. Pennsylvania St.

Miss M. A. Williamson

REMOVED TO

121 East Michigan St.

Special days—Tuesdays. Lessons (mornings) on Thursdays. Rooms always open.

To Become Rich

You must rise early; you must always be on time; to always be on time you should buy a Watch or Clock at the most reliable Jewelry House in the State.

MARCY'S

He carries the largest and best selected stock of Diamonds, Jewelry and Silver Novelties, and you will be surprised when you learn the remarkable low price he sells his goods for. This week we are selling Sterling Book Marks for 25c.

MARCY'S

38 West Washington Street.

Knox's New York Hats,

Henry Heath's London Hats,

Christy & Co's London Hats,

Gordon & Co's London Hats,

DALTON,

HIGH-CLASS HATTER,

BATES HOUSE.

Agents for above celebrated Hats.

SPRINKLING THE CAR TRACKS.

Water Company Will Make No Reduction in Rates.

The Board of Works is endeavoring to devise a plan whereby the streets between the street car tracks can be properly sprinkled this summer. The street car company has been asked to prepare tank cars to be run by electricity for the purpose of sprinkling the track. The most serious question in the way of such an arrangement was the price at which the company could secure water from the water company. Yesterday P. W. Davis, of the water company, appeared before the Board of Works and offered to furnish water for this purpose at the same rate that is paid by the regular city sprinklers, and said the company would not put in mains wherever it was found necessary for the proper filling of the tanks.

One Hundred Men Working on Streets.

With the opening of spring comes the regular annual cleaning of the gravel streets of the city. Over one hundred men are now at work under Street Commissioner Fisher, and more will be put on soon. The number of men probably reach two hundred before many days. The city pays day laborers \$1.40 a day.

Bismarck's Eighty-First Birthday.

Was celebrated universally last night. His health was proposed in many of our German families.

Up went cheers.

Mostly the great favorite, "Columbia," of the Home Brewing Company, Ltd. 100.

DENOUNCED AS A THAR

A DRAMATIC SCENE DURING THE PATTON-SPADES DAMAGE SUIT.

Plaintiff Attacks Dr. J. S. Jordan, a Witness, Who Made Alleged Confidences Public.

The largest crowd that has yet attended the trial listened to the evidence in the Spades damage suit yesterday. There were not more than a dozen women in the curious audience. At 2 o'clock in the afternoon the crowd that surged about the doors of Room 2, Superior Court, threatened to tramp upon the balliffs in its effort to get inside. When the doors were opened there was a disgraceful run for the chairs. Judge Harvey watched the precipitous rush from the bench and then gave the audience the benefit of his observations. He ordered every man out of the court room and instructed those who could not come in decently to leave the building. The occupants of the chairs reluctantly withdrew, and then suddenly fled back into the room.

The proceedings yesterday were interrupted a somewhat dramatic incident. The trial was also characterized by the unusual spectacle of a physician making public the confidences of a client. It was through this violation of professional ethics that the court action for a time was over to impromptu theatrics. At 2:30 o'clock Joseph S. Jordan, who has an office on Washington street, was called to the witness stand by the defendant's attorneys. As he disclosed this fact he was loudly stroked his flowing chestnut-colored beard. He kept a doctor's office in the Griffith Block in March, 1894. During that month Grace Patton was one of his patients. He had seen her in his home, when she came with another young woman, Attorney Spade, examined the witness closely and concluded the examination by requesting him to detail a conversation which he claimed to have had with the girl. The doctor, however, was suddenly interrupted. The plaintiff, who sat with his mother, stepped from the witness stand to go and quickly arose from her chair. Advancing a step in the direction of the witness box she screamed out:

"That man is telling a lie."

The girl's lawyer stepped toward her as she fell back in her chair and Jordan's long fingers again sought his mouth, and he again swore.

So loud that it was impossible to proceed and Judge Harvey ordered the witness to take her from the room. Ex-Judge Cox then took the witness in hand for cross examination. From an entire Theater of grammar containing a picture of the Doctor, Attorney Spade secured some interesting points. The advertisement of the witness said that he was one of the most renowned physicians in the country, and that he had cured cures of consumption, Bright's disease and other maladies that have long perplexed physicians. He further announced that people came from all over the world to consult with Doctor Jordan.

"It is possible that this is true, Doctor," questioned Attorney Spade, "but before the witness could reply Attorney Spade interrupted.

"Mr. Cox, that is Empire Theater dramatics and I protest," exclaimed the attorney.

"Oh, never mind, Mr. Spade, we have a swift witness here and I'll get along all right," retorted Attorney Spade. Jordan appealed to the court. He thought that Mr. Cox had no right to call the witness to the stand, but Judge Harvey refused to interfere.

"I suppose," continued Attorney Cox, "that people cannot find competent physicians in Chicago and New York and come to you? Am I right, Doctor?" Jordan looked appealingly at Mr. Spade and did not reply. Reading from the programme, the inquirer continued:

"Doctor, I have noticed that you have practiced in all the large hospitals in the country. Now, will you kindly name them?"

The witness admitted that he attended one case at St. Vincent's and visited the City Hospital while taking lectures. This was the extent of his hospital practice.

"I notice further that you have had great success in the treatment of the lungs. Now please tell me what a disease of the lungs is."

"Well, a disease of the lungs is a disease of the lungs," replied the witness.

"Good, now tell me how many diseases of the lungs there are."

"Some say there are three and some four."

"Yes, but we want the opinion of so eminent a physician as yourself."

"Ah, I see, that's what has made you famous is it?"

The witness said that he was graduated from the Indiana Eclectic Medical College and the Beach Medical Institute. When asked where the latter institution was located he replied that "it had quit."

"Did you ever hear of professional ethics, Doctor?" queried the attorney.

"Yes, I know what it is."

"And yet you claim to have treated this girl professionally and then come in here and tell it. Do you call that professional ethics?"

The witness attempted to excuse his action. He said that he came into court against his will, and that the secret had "leaked" out somewhere.

August Meier, who admitted that he had been sent to the workhouse for various offenses, testified that the moral character of the plaintiff before she went to work in the Windsor Block. In his opinion, the character of the plaintiff was not good.

The witness admitted that Mr. Cox, the brother-in-law of M. H. Spades, called at the rubber mill, where he was employed, some time ago and got him to go to attorney Rooker's office. There he made an affidavit bearing on the moral character of the plaintiff.

"Isn't it a fact," asked Attorney Cox, "that you recently told a man named William Peattie that you were going to testify against his wife?"

"No, sir, I never said it," replied the witness.

Judge Harvey permitted the introduction of the deposition of Charles H. Tilghman, stepfather of Grace Patton. He testified that when he was in the family he lived at No. 200 East Washington street, over Peter Conway's saloon. His daughter, Grace, he said, was a very nice girl, and home a great deal. Sometimes she would come home and accompany him to the fair at Lincoln Park, where she drank a great deal of beer and seemed pretty intimate with a barber named Wagner. The defendant admitted that his wife and stepdaughter used bad language at times when addressing each other. Being present only as the character of the lodgings kept by his wife and stepdaughter, he remembered that on one occasion a railroad detective named Brady or "Grady" had occupied one of the rooms with a woman, M. H. Spades, the defendant, will be the last witness on the stand.

WAGNER'S GREATEST OPERA.

Constantin Sternberg Gives an Admirable Lecture on "Parsifal."

One of the musical treats of the season was the lecture on "Parsifal," with illustrations by stereopticon and piano, given last evening at the Propyleum by Mr. Constantin Sternberg. Mr. Sternberg began his lecture by giving a short sketch of Richard Wagner, the composer. He said that Wagner had been a martyr, that he had suffered all that man could heap upon him and like the phoenix he rose from the ashes of this contumely. He said Wagner had great love. Not the love of the summer novel, not even that love of father for a child, but the great Christian love of the world. "Love was another name," he said, "for the love of the world. His transcendent genius was shown in his works, but in none, perhaps, more than in the 'Parsifal.' Mr. Sternberg gave a complete recital of the opera. A description of the story of the opera, which is founded on the Holy Grail, was accompanied by the pictures of the different scenes shown with the stereopticon. The audience felt as Cardinal Newman once expressed himself after seeing the opera: "I feel as if I had attended a great religious service." The opera impresses one with its amplitude and its marvelous interpretation. It is not understood in their breadth, except when under the spell of Wagner. At the conclusion of what might be termed the literary features, Mr. Sternberg gave a recital of the opera in music on the piano. When he heard the opera in Bayreuth there

were 128 instruments in the orchestra and the orchestra was sunk below the stage. In hearing it, he said, one loses the idea of individuals or performers and becomes entirely in spirit with the subject. In preparation for the opera, Mr. Sternberg, a fine player, comprehends the power of the music and shows with as skillful a hand as is possible with the single instrument. The several motifs which represent the different parts of the opera were played separately and then in conjunction with that which is written to accompany the action, so that every one could intelligently comprehend the music. The majestic final, where Parsifal returns to the temple of the sword, was most solemn and impressive. The evening was rich with thought and suggestion and gave a broader and better idea of Wagner and his opera than Indianapolis could have in any other way. By going to Bayreuth, for in order to hear the opera one will have to go there for the next eighteen years. This evening Mr. and Mrs. Leckner will entertain a few of their musical friends informally for Mr. Sternberg, who is their guest.

PRICES MUST GO UP

WINDOW GLASS MANUFACTURERS SAY THEY MAKE NO PROFIT.

Thirty-One of Them Met in This City—Advances or a Shut Down Inevitable.

"Thirty-one manufacturers of window glass were at the Bates House yesterday to consider the interests of the trade. The price of glass continues very low, buyers admit, and is fully ten points below the normal price at which there can be profit. The results of the meeting were kept a profound secret, but it is believed that the window glass association has determined either to raise prices or close down. To close down, however, means a large loss and to continue at present prices means a little loss every day. Some of the members said that the only thing left to do was to raise prices so as to pass the margin where profit begins. Another meeting will be held April 15, at Pittsburgh, and a shut-down or decided advance is expected about May 1. It is said the situation has reached a point where the common interest of the business and that every time they meet the members 'get closer together,' as one member expressed it. The former attempt to unite upon a price advance failed, it is said, at the Hartford City Company, but at this meeting the Hartford Glass Company, which is a member of the Eastern manufacturers are apparently not so confident of further advance. A dispatch from Pittsburgh last night said that prominent manufacturers of window glass at the National Window Glass Manufacturers' Association announced yesterday that at the meeting of the association the combination would probably be broken. One of the Pittsburgh manufacturers stated that the members of the association were ten to one. It is said the formation of the combination has been standing on a sound footing and some of the larger concerns have been in the market for some time. A new glass plant is to be met this Friday and complete the details of the organization. The Standard plant at Butler, Pa., will not come into the trust.

ENDED THEIR TRIBULATIONS.

Schofield Thornton Had No Wife and Was Permitted to Marry Edith Clay.

Schofield Thornton and Edith Bertha Clay, who were arrested last Saturday night in their home on South Tennessee street, were married yesterday evening in the county jail. Justice John W. Sears united the couple at the request of W. H. Ripley, attorney for the woman. The latter is about twenty years of age, and of good looking appearance. Thornton is twenty-three. The couple were arrested on the charge of maintaining improper relations, and yesterday morning were arraigned in Police Court. Judge Stubbs postponed the case until this morning. While in Police Court, Thornton expressed the desire to unite their fortunes by legal ceremony, but Judge Stubbs declined to permit the marriage until an investigation of Thornton's affairs could be made. He suspected that Thornton had a wife, and did not want the girl married until Attorney Ripley looked up the lease, and after satisfying himself that the young man was sincere in his desire to make the woman his wife, arranged for the ceremony.

Thornton is an Englishman and lives at Toronto, Canada. He says he was born in England. He is a mule, a horse and a dog. He was connected with the Irving Brothers' Circus. He says he has been married to a girl named Edith for some time. The girl's home is in Louisville, but for two years he has been living in Indianapolis. He has been engaged for a long time. Thornton is an Englishman and lives at Toronto, Canada. He says he was born in England. He is a mule, a horse and a dog. He was connected with the Irving Brothers' Circus. He says he has been married to a girl named Edith for some time. The girl's home is in Louisville, but for two years he has been living in Indianapolis. He has been engaged for a long time.

AMERICAN MEDICAL COLLEGE.

First Commencement Exercises of the New School Held.

The first commencement of the new American Medical College, the new medical college in this city whose professors are made up from all schools, and whose teaching is claimed to be broad and along the same line, was held last night at the College, 18 Indiana avenue. Rev. L. L. Turney, Greenwood, offered prayer, and ex-Supreme Judge R. W. McBride delivered an address on "Law and Medicine," outlining the efforts made by the law in this State to raise the standard of medical education and bring forth the shortcomings in the same. Rev. Dr. Coultas, of Roberts Park Church, then followed with an extemporaneous oration on the unity of medicine and law, and the relation of the two professions to the life and life. Judge P. W. Bartholomew also made an address elucidating the importance of winning our students for ethics when a human life was in danger and it could be preserved by immediate action. Dr. C. H. Ball, of Terre Haute, president of the faculty, presided and presented the diplomas to the graduates. The faculty secretary, was general director. Choice vocal and instrumental music was interspersed between the addresses. The graduates could not be many for a new college, the new law requires three years' attendance at a medical school, and a student graduate was Miss Lizzie E. Hazelton of this city, who has been studying for years at another college. The address was conferred upon C. E. Barnum, M. D., C. H. Hambley, D. E. Smith, M. D., and W. B. Clarke, M. D.

S. E. Nicholson's Dates.

The campaign to be made by the Good Citizenship League, of Indianapolis, will begin April 7, at New Castle. The speeches will be made by S. E. Nicholson, a member of the recent Legislature, which passed the bill bearing his name. The dates, beginning with Saturday, are as follows: New Castle, April 7; Greensburg, April 8; Franklin, April 9; Edinburg, April 10; Columbus, April 11; Seymour, April 12; Southport, April 13; Jeffersonville, April 14; New Albany, April 15; Charlestown, April 16; Lexington, April 17; Shelbyville, April 18; Osgood, April 19; La Grange, April 20; Greensburg, April 21; Nashville, April 22; Muncie, April 23, 24 and 25.

Piling Lumber in the Streets.

In a Supreme Court decision handed down yesterday, in the case of Senheim against the City of Evansville, there is a paragraph of interest to this city, where lumber and other materials are so frequently found in the streets. The paragraph is: "It is the duty of the city to remove lumber for a city to allow lumber to be piled in its streets. During the Sullivan majority regime ex-City Recorder J. D. Donahoe gave the city an order to remove the lumber. The city was allowed to use the street in the removal of lumber, but it was not to be used for piling lumber."

School Board Officials.

Officials of the public schools and library building will be elected Friday night. Those at present in the positions will probably be re-elected. Mr. Appel denies that President Marshall has been carrying on a campaign to get his name on the ballot. Mr. Appel's resignation around in his pocket, to be used whenever desired.

Duty on Duty Again.

At Duty, who has been confined to his home for the last few months with lung trouble, again took up his work with the police force yesterday. He gave the wagon on runs for a time until he becomes able to again patrol a beat.

PAY UP LOAN STOCK

IMPORTANT ORAL ARGUMENT IN SUPREME COURT TO-DAY.

Suit to Keep Such Stock Off Tax Duplicate—What Attorney-General Will Do.

An oral argument will take place in the Supreme Court to-morrow on the subject of taxation of building and loan stock of prepaid or paid-up character. There are two cases, one entitled Donahoe, Auditor, vs. Terry, and the other is in the form of a criminal procedure, in which the State, on the part of the prosecuting attorney, brings an action against Brackett, who is charged with making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt such stock; second, that they did not receive the legal five days' notice, and third, that the assessment was made in violation of the act of 1891 and of the building and loan act of 1892. The attorney-general, who is in charge of the case, is charged with the duty of making a false return of the assessment of certain paid-up stock as omitted property. The holders of the stock contend that the statutes exempt